

>>>think about the failure of positivism, and logical positivism, in contrast to feyerabend's thinking. if even the natural sciences lack behind the ideal of 'certainty', then does that mean that science can proceed without methods, or without methodology? then what about coincidences? do coincidences find the ones that are ready?

>>>can understanding happen without beliefs, ideologies or methods?

>>>think about kuhn's concept of 'paradigm'. is the scientific knowledge cumulative? what kind of accumulation is it?

>>>if there is no definitive answer to the sceptics' claims, is it legitimate for the architects to be lousy intellectuals? (consider this: they're never lousy in terms of what they wear, and how they look)