BLG 540E TEXT RETRIEVAL SYSTEMS

Web Search and Crawling

Arzucan Özgür

Faculty of Computer and Informatics, İstanbul Techical University April 22, 2011

Brief (non-technical) history

- Early keyword-based engines ca. 1995-1997
 Altavista, Excite, Infoseek, Inktomi, Lycos
- ▶ <u>Paid search</u> ranking: Goto (morphed into Overture.com \rightarrow Yahoo!)
 - Your search ranking depended on how much you paid

Brief (non-technical) history

I998+: Link-based ranking pioneered by Google

- Blew away all early engines save Inktomi
- Meanwhile Goto/Overture's annual revenues were nearing \$1 billion
- Result: Google added paid search "ads" to the side, independent of search results
 - Yahoo followed suit, acquiring Overture (for paid placement) and Inktomi (for search)

🕲 nigritude ultramarine - Google Search - Mozilla Firefox		
Eile Edit View Go Bookmarks Yahoo! Tools Help		O
The second seco	G=Google+Search	🔽 🔘 Go 💽
🌮 Getting Started 🔂 Latest Headlines		
Y 🔹 🖉 🗸 Search Web 🔹 한 🗸 🖂 Mail 🔹 🥸 My Yahoo! 🚢 Games 🛪 🎬 Movies 🔹 🖏 Music 🕫 Answers 👻 📌 Personals 🛪 🕞 Sign In 🔹		
Google [®] Web <u>Images</u> <u>Groups News</u> <u>Froogle</u> <u>Local</u> <u>more</u> » inigritude ultramarine Search <u>Advance</u> <u>Preferent</u>		pragh60@gmail.com <u>My Account</u> <u>Sign out</u>
Web	Results 1 - 10 of about 185,	000 for nigritude ultramarine. (0.35 seconds)
Anil Dash: Nigritude Ultramarine Do me a favor: Link to this post with the phrase Nigritude Ultramarine Just placed a link to your Nigritude Ultramarine article on my weblog. Cheers! www.dashes.com/anil/2004/06/04/nigritude_ultra - 101k - Mar 1, 2006 - Cached - Similar pages Nigritude Ultramarine FAQ Nigritude Ultramarine FAQ Nigritude Ultramarine FAQ - frequently asked questions about nigritude ultramarine and the realted SEO contest. www.nigritudeultramarines.com/ - 59k - Cached - Similar pages SEO contest - Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia The nigritude ultramarine competition by SearchGuild is widely acclaimed as Comparison of search results for nigritude ultramarine during and after the en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nigritude_ultramarine - 37k - Cached - Similar pages Slashdot How To Get Googled, By Hook Or By Crook The current 3rd result showcases the "Nigritude Ultramarine Fighting Force" who When discussing nigritude ultramarine [slashdot.org] it is important to slashdot.org/article.pl?sid=04/05/09/1840217 - 110k - Cached - Similar pages The Nigritude Ultramarine Search Engine Optimization Contest It's sweeping the web - or at least search engine optimizers - a new contest to rank tops for the term nigritude ultramarine on Google. searchenginewatch.com/sereport/article.ph/3360231 - 57k - Cached - Similar pages	Paid Search Ads	Sponsored Links Piness Blogging Seminar J to L.A. March 16 Top bloggers reveal key techniques www.blogbusinesssummit.com Los Angeles, CA Mul-Time SEO & SEM Jobs Find companies big & small hiring ful-time SEO & SEM pros right now CareerBuilder.com SEO Contests Information on SEO Contests like the Nigritude Ultramarine contest. www.seo-contests.com/ Migritude Ultramarine & SEO secrets Fun, free, raw, & different. Ware contect com
		Overstock.com
Done		

Web search basics



Sec. 19.4.1

User Needs

- ▶ Need [Brod02, RL04]
 - Informational want to learn about something (~40% / 65%)

Low hemoglobin

Navigational – want to go to that page (~25% / 15%)

United Airlines

Transactional – want to do something (web-mediated) (~35% / 20%)

Access a service
 Downloads
 Shop
 Shop
 Shop
 Seattle weather
 Mars surface images
 Canon S410

Car rental Brasil

Gray areas

Find a good hub

Exploratory search "see what's there"

How far do people look for results?

"When you perform a search on a search engine and don't find what you are looking for, at what point do you typically either revise your search, or move on to another search engine? (Select one)"



(Source: iprospect.com WhitePaper_2006_SearchEngineUserBehavior.pdf)

Users' empirical evaluation of results

Quality of pages varies widely

- Relevance is not enough
- Other desirable qualities (non IR!!)
 - Content: Trustworthy, diverse, non-duplicated, well maintained
 - Web readability: display correctly & fast
 - ▶ No annoyances: pop-ups, etc

Precision vs. recall

• On the web, recall seldom matters

What matters

- Precision at I? Precision above the fold?
- Comprehensiveness must be able to deal with obscure queries
 - Recall matters when the number of matches is very small

Users' empirical evaluation of engines

- Relevance and validity of results
- UI Simple, no clutter, error tolerant
- Trust Results are objective
- Coverage of topics for polysemic queries
- Pre/Post process tools provided
 - Mitigate user errors (auto spell check, search assist,...)
 - Explicit: Search within results, more like this, refine ...
 - Anticipative: related searches

Deal with idiosyncrasies

- Web specific vocabulary
 - Impact on stemming, spell-check, etc
- Web addresses typed in the search box

The Web document collection



- No design/co-ordination
- Distributed content creation, linking, democratization of publishing
- Content includes truth, lies, obsolete information, contradictions ...
- Unstructured (text, html, ...), semistructured (XML, annotated photos), structured (Databases)...
- Scale much larger than previous text collections ...
- Growth slowed down from initial "volume doubling every few months" but still expanding
- Content can be dynamically generated



(Search Engine Optimization)



The trouble with paid search ads ...

- It costs money. What's the alternative?
- Search Engine Optimization:
 - "Tuning" your web page to rank highly in the algorithmic search results for select keywords
 - Alternative to paying for placement
 - Thus, intrinsically a marketing function
- Performed by companies, webmasters and consultants ("Search engine optimizers") for their clients
- Some perfectly legitimate, some very shady

Simplest forms

First generation engines relied heavily on tf/idf

The top-ranked pages for the query maui resort were the ones containing the most maui's and resort's

SEOs responded with dense repetitions of chosen terms

- e.g., maui resort maui resort maui resort
- Often, the repetitions would be in the same color as the background of the web page
 - Repeated terms got indexed by crawlers
 - But not visible to humans on browsers

Variants of keyword stuffing

- Misleading meta-tags, excessive repetition
- Hidden text with colors, style sheet tricks, etc.

Meta-Tags = "... London hotels, hotel, holiday inn, hilton, discount, booking, reservation, sex, mp3, britney spears, viagra, ..."

Sec. 19.2.2

Cloaking

Serve fake content to search engine spider



More spam techniques

Doorway pages

Pages optimized for a single keyword that re-direct to the real target page

Link spamming

- Mutual admiration societies, hidden links, link farms
- Domain flooding: numerous domains that point or re-direct to a target page

The war against spam

- Quality signals Prefer authoritative pages based on:
 - Votes from authors (linkage signals)
 - Votes from users (usage signals)

Policing of URL submissions

- Anti robot test
- Limits on meta-keywords
- Robust link analysis
 - Ignore statistically implausible linkage (or text)
 - Use link analysis to detect spammers (guilt by association)

Spam recognition by machine learning

 Training set based on known spam

Editorial intervention

- Blacklists
- Top queries audited
- Complaints addressed
- Suspect pattern detection

More on spam

- Adversarial IR: the unending (technical) battle between SEO's and web search engines
- Research <u>http://airweb.cse.lehigh.edu/</u>

Size of the Web

- The Web is the largest repository of data and it grows exponentially.
 - 320 Million Web pages [Lawrence & Giles 1998]
 - 800 Million Web pages, 15 TB [Lawrence & Giles 1999]
 - 20 Billion Web pages indexed [now]
- Amount of data
 - roughly 200 TB [Lyman et al. 2003]

Size of the web

Issues

- The web is really infinite
 - Dynamic content, e.g., calendar
 - Soft 404: <u>www.yahoo.com/<anything></u> is a valid page,
 - Infinite sized size is whatever can be indexed!
- Static web contains syntactic duplication, mostly due to mirroring (~30%)

Web Crawling

Basic crawler operation

- Begin with known "seed" URLs
- Fetch and parse them
 - Extract URLs they point to
 - Place the extracted URLs on a queue
- Fetch each URL on the queue and repeat

Sec. 20.2

Crawling picture



Simple picture – complications

Web crawling isn't feasible with one machine

- All of the above steps distributed
- Malicious pages
 - Spam pages
 - Spider traps
 - Even non-malicious pages pose challenges
 - Latency/bandwidth to remote servers vary
 - Webmasters' stipulations
 - How "deep" should you crawl a site's URL hierarchy?
 - Site mirrors and duplicate pages
- Politeness don't hit a server too often

What any crawler *must* do

- Be <u>Polite</u>: Respect implicit and explicit politeness considerations
 - Only crawl allowed pages
 - Respect robots.txt (more on this shortly)
- Be <u>Robust</u>: Be immune to spider traps and other malicious behavior from web servers

Sec. 20.2.1

Robots.txt

- Protocol for giving spiders ("robots") limited access to a website, originally from 1994
 - www.robotstxt.org/wc/norobots.html
- Website announces its request on what can(not) be crawled
 - For a URL, create a file URL/robots.txt
 - This file specifies access restrictions

Mozilla Firefox
<u>File E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew Hi <u>s</u> tory <u>B</u> ookmarks <u>T</u> ools <u>H</u> elp
C X 🟠 http://www.cs.itu.edu.tr/robots.txt
🔊 Most Visited 📄 Getting Started 🔜 Latest Headlines
http://www.cs.itu.edu.tr/robots.txt

Define access-restrictions for robots/spiders
http://www.robotstxt.org/wc/norobots.html

```
# By default we allow robots to access all areas of our site
# already accessible to anonymous users
```

User-agent: * Disallow:

```
# Add Googlebot-specific syntax extension to exclude forms
# that are repeated for each piece of content in the site
# the wildcard is only supported by Googlebot
# http://www.google.com/support/webmasters/bin/answer.py?answer=40367&ctx=sibling
```

```
User-Agent: Googlebot
Disallow: /*sendto_form$
Disallow: /*folder_factories$
```

What any crawler should do

- Be capable of <u>distributed</u> operation: designed to run on multiple distributed machines
- Be <u>scalable</u>: designed to increase the crawl rate by adding more machines
- Performance/efficiency: permit full use of available processing and network resources

What any crawler should do

- Fetch pages of "higher <u>quality</u>" first
- Continuous operation: Continue fetching fresh copies of a previously fetched page
- Extensible: Adapt to new data formats, protocols

Updated crawling picture



URL frontier

- Can include multiple pages from the same host
- Must avoid trying to fetch them all at the same time
- Must try to keep all crawling threads busy

Explicit and implicit politeness

- Explicit politeness: specifications from webmasters on what portions of site can be crawled
 - robots.txt
- Implicit politeness: even with no specification, avoid hitting any site too often

Processing steps in crawling

- Pick a URL from the frontier
- Fetch the document at the URL
- Parse the URL
 - Extract links from it to other docs (URLs)
- Check if URL has content already seen
 - If not, add to indexes
- For each extracted URL
 - E.g., only crawl .edu, obey Ensure it passes certain URL filter tests
 - Check if it is already in the frontier (duplicate ORL elimination)



robots.txt, etc.

Basic crawl architecture



Parsing: URL normalization

- When a fetched document is parsed, some of the extracted links are *relative* URLs
- E.g., at <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Main_Page</u>
- we have a relative link to /wiki/Wikipedia:General_disclaimer which is the same as the absolute URL

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:General_disclaimer

During parsing, must normalize (expand) such relative URLs

Content seen?

- Duplication is widespread on the web
- If the page just fetched is already in the index, do not further process it
- This is verified using document fingerprints or shingles
 - (see Serdar Bağış's presentation)
Duplicate URL elimination

- For a non-continuous (one-shot) crawl, test to see if an extracted+filtered URL has already been passed to the frontier
- For a continuous crawl see details of frontier implementation

Distributing the crawler

- Run multiple crawl threads, under different processes – potentially at different nodes
 - Geographically distributed nodes
- Partition hosts being crawled into nodes
 - Hash used for partition

URL frontier: two main considerations

- Politeness: do not hit a web server too frequently
- Freshness: crawl some pages more often than others
 - E.g., pages (such as News sites) whose content changes often
- These goals may conflict each other.
- (E.g., simple priority queue fails many links out of a page go to its own site, creating a burst of accesses to that site.)

Politeness – challenges

- Even if we restrict only one thread to fetch from a host, can hit it repeatedly
- Common heuristic: insert time gap between successive requests to a host that is >> time for most recent fetch from that host

URL frontier: Mercator scheme



Mercator URL frontier

- URLs flow in from the top into the frontier
- Front queues manage prioritization
- Back queues enforce politeness
- Each queue is FIFO

Sec. 20.2.3

Front queues



Front queues

Prioritizer assigns to URL an integer priority between 1 and K

- Appends URL to corresponding queue
- Heuristics for assigning priority
 - Refresh rate sampled from previous crawls
 - Application-specific (e.g., "crawl news sites more often")

Biased front queue selector

- When a <u>back queue</u> requests a URL (in a sequence to be described): picks a front queue from which to pull a URL
- This choice can be round robin biased to queues of higher priority, or some more sophisticated variant
 - Can be randomized

Sec. 20.2.3

Back queues



Back queue invariants

- Each back queue is kept non-empty while the crawl is in progress
- Each back queue only contains URLs from a single host
 - Maintain a table from hosts to back queues

Host name	Back queue
	3
	1
	В

Back queue heap

- One entry for each back queue
- The entry is the earliest time t_e at which the host corresponding to the back queue can be hit again
- This earliest time is determined from
 - Last access to that host
 - Any time buffer heuristic we choose

Back queue processing

- A crawler thread seeking a URL to crawl:
- Extracts the root of the heap
- Fetches URL at head of corresponding back queue q (look up from table)
- Checks if queue q is now empty if so, pulls a URL v from front queues
 - If there's already a back queue for v's host, append v to q and pull another URL from front queues, repeat
 - Else add v to q
- When q is non-empty, create heap entry for it

Resources

- Introduction to Information Retrieval, chapters 19,20.
- Some slides were adapted from
 - Prof. Dragomir Radev's lectures at the University of Michigan:
 - http://clair.si.umich.edu/~radev/teaching.html
 - the book's companion website:
 - http://nlp.stanford.edu/IR-book/information-retrieval-book.html